

Synthesis and Structure—activity Relationships of Antitubercular 2-Nitroimidazooxazines Bearing **Heterocyclic Side Chains**

Hamish S. Sutherland,*,† Adrian Blaser,† Iveta Kmentova,† Scott G. Franzblau,‡ Baojie Wan,‡ Yuehong Wang,‡ Zhenkun Ma, Brian D. Palmer, William A. Denny, and Andrew M. Thompson

[†]Auckland Cancer Society Research Centre, School of Medical Sciences, The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand, *Institute for Tuberculosis Research, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, 833 South Wood Street, Chicago, Illinois 60612, and §Global Alliance for TB Drug Development, 40 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005

Received September 16, 2009

Recently described biphenyl analogues of the antituberculosis drug PA-824 displayed improved potencies against M. tuberculosis but were poorly soluble. Heterobiaryl analogues of these, in which the first phenyl ring was replaced with various 5-membered ring heterocycles, were prepared with the aim of identifying potent new candidates with improved aqueous solubility. The compounds were constructed by coupling the chiral 2-nitroimidazooxazine alcohol with various halomethyl-substituted arylheterocycles, by cycloadditions to a propargyl ether derivative of this alcohol, or by Suzuki couplings on haloheterocyclic methyl ether derivatives. The arylheterocyclic compounds were all more hydrophilic than their corresponding biphenyl analogues, and several showed solubility improvements. 1-Methylpyrazole, 1,3-linked-pyrazole, 2,4-linked-triazole, and tetrazole analogues had 3- to 7-fold higher MIC potencies against replicating M. tb than predicted by their lipophilicities. Two pyrazole analogues were >10-fold more efficacious than the parent drug in a mouse model of acute M. tb infection, and one displayed a 2-fold higher solubility.

Introduction

Over the last two decades, there has been a global resurgence of tuberculosis (TBa), fuelled by an enhanced susceptibility in HIV patients, the increasing incidence of multidrugresistant (MDR) TB, and the recent emergence of extensively drug resistant (XDR) strains. ^{1,2} The current second-line drugs used in lengthy combination therapies to treat MDR-TB (typically over 2 years²) mostly have reduced potency and/ or greater toxicity than existing first-line agents and may be largely ineffective against XDR-TB, underscoring the urgent need for new treatments. In the past decade, the general class of (6S)-2-nitroimidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazines bearing lipophilic side chains at the 6-position, typified by PA-824 (1),³ has shown potent activity against both replicating and nonreplicating cultures of $Mycobacterium\ tuberculosis\ (M.\ tb),^{3-6}$ the causative agent of TB. Recent work suggests that an important component of the activity of these compounds against nonreplicating M. tb is due to an unusual route of reductive metabolism of the nitroimidazole ring, ^{7,8} leading to the release of nitric oxide as a toxic species. Both 1 and the related

6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazole, OPC-67683 (2),¹⁰ are currently in phase II clinical trials for the treatment of drugsusceptible and drug-resistant TB, 11 with the aim of reducing the duration and frequency of drug treatment required. A recent report¹² of a phase IIa early bactericidal activity (EBA) study of 1 given as a single agent to TB patients once daily for 14 days (dosing orally at 200, 600, 1000, or 1200 mg) showed that the drug was essentially equivalent to the standard, fourdrug TB treatment protocol in reducing the number of live M. tb in the sputum (\sim 0.1 log decrease in CFUs per day for 14 days) and was well-tolerated (but equally efficacious) at all dose levels. The use of a dry powder inhaler as an alternative drug delivery system for 1 has now been reported to provide increased lung concentrations for longer times than that achieved following oral administration (guinea pig model).¹³

We recently described⁶ a structure—activity relationship (SAR) study of biphenyl analogues of 1, which showed that many derivatives (I) (including 3-linked, but particularly 4-linked examples, such as 3) had improved potencies against both replicating and nonreplicating M. tb in culture. Some of these displayed particularly notable efficacies in a mouse model of acute M. tb infection (e.g., 3 was > 205-fold more effective than 1). The study also showed that aerobic MIC values correlated positively both with overall lipophilicity and with the electron-withdrawing properties of substituents on the terminal phenyl ring. However, these biphenyl analogues had concomitantly lower aqueous solubilities than 1 (e.g., the solubility of 3 was at least 16-fold less than 1), which could negatively impact on their oral bioavailability at higher doses. This is likely given that 1 itself is 94% protein bound in human plasma¹⁴ and because absorption issues were noted during

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: (+649) 923 4441. Fax: (+649) 373 7502. E-mail: h.sutherland@auckland.ac.nz.

^a Abbreviations: TB, tuberculosis; MDR, multidrug-resistant; XDR, extensively drug resistant; M. tb, Mycobacterium tuberculosis; EBA, early bactericidal activity; SAR, structure-activity relationship; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; SD, standard deviation; MLB, meta-linked biphenyl; CFU, colony forming unit; THP, tetrahydropyranyl; APCI MS, atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry; DMF, N,N-dimethylformamide; THF, tetrahydrofuran; TBAF, tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; PAR, peak area ratio; IS, internal standard.

clinical trials of the much more lipophilic **2** that necessitated a change in drug formulation for this compound. ¹⁵ Unfortunately, attempts to solubilize the biphenyl class via aminoalkoxy substituents resulted in a dramatic loss in activity, particularly in vivo. ⁶

In work aimed at identifying new, potent heterobiaryl analogues of ${\bf 1}$ with better aqueous solubilities than the biphenyl class, we now report the synthesis and evaluation of 11 different subseries in which the proximal phenyl ring of ${\bf I}$ was replaced by more hydrophilic 5-membered ring heterocycles.

Chemistry

The 5-arylthiophene and 2-arylthiazole analogues (9–19 and 20–25, respectively) were prepared by base-catalyzed alkylation of the known¹⁶ chiral alcohol 61 with the 2-halo(5-or 4-halomethyl) heterocycles 62¹⁷ or 64,¹⁸ followed by Suzuki coupling of the products (63 and 65) with appropriate arylboronic acids (Scheme 1). Similar base-catalyzed alkylation of alcohol 61 with (3-bromo-1-propynyl)(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilane¹⁹ (87) gave (after desilylation) the propargyl ether 88, which underwent cycloaddition²⁰ with known^{21–24} *N*-hydroxy-arylcarboximidoyl chlorides (89a–f) to give the 3-arylisoxazole derivatives 40–45 directly (Scheme 5). Alternatively, treatment of 88 with known^{25–28} aromatic azides (93a, 93c–g) in the presence of CuI/Cu(OAc)₂²⁹ gave the 1-aryl-1,2,3-triazole derivatives 47–52.

The rest of the compounds were synthesized by the coupling of alcohol 61 with various fully constructed side chains (arylheterocycles bearing a halomethyl substituent). These were prepared by a variety of different routes. The 2-aryl-5-(chloromethyl)-1-methylimidazole hydrochlorides 69a-e required for the synthesis of 2-arylimidazole analogues 26-30 were formed by Suzuki coupling of methyl 2-bromo-1-methyl-1*H*-imidazole-5-carboxylate³⁰ (**66**) with arylboronic acids, subsequent reduction (LiAlH₄) of the resulting esters (67a, 67c-e, or LiPyrrBH₃³¹ reduction in the case of 67b) to give alcohols 68a-e, and finally chlorination with thionyl chloride (Scheme 2). The 3-aryl-5-(bromomethyl)-1-methylpyrazoles 74a-c employed in the synthesis of 3-arylpyrazole analogues 31–33 were constructed by Pd-catalyzed four-component coupling³² of THP-protected prop-2-yn-1-ol $(70)^{33}$ with 4-substituted iodobenzenes 71a-c, aqueous methylhydrazine, and carbon monoxide to give the THP-protected 1-methylpyrazole derivatives **72a**–**c** (Scheme 3). Deprotection under forcing conditions (4M HCl/THF/80 °C/16 h) gave

Scheme 1^a

 a Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, DMF, 0 $^{\circ}$ C, 2 h; (ii) ArB(OH)₂, toluene, EtOH, 2 M K₂CO₃, PdCl₂(dppf) under N₂, reflux, 0.5–1 h.

Scheme 2^a

 a Reagents and conditions: (i) ArB(OH)2, toluene, EtOH, 2 M K2CO3, PdCl2(dppf) under N2, reflux, 2 h; (ii) LiAlH4 or LiPyrrBH3, THF, 0 or 20 °C, 1–2 h; (iii) SOCl2, reflux, 0.5 h; (iv) **61**, NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 2 h.

alcohols 73a-c, which yielded the desired bromides on treatment with phosphorus tribromide.

The synthesis of 1-aryl-4-linked-pyrazoles 34-36 began with the condensation of ethyl (2E)-2-cyano-3-ethoxy-2-propenoate (75) and 4-substituted phenylhydrazines 76a-c to give the expected³⁴ substituted ethyl 5-amino-1-phenylpyrazole-4-carboxylates (77a-c) (Scheme 4). Deamination with isoamyl nitrite³⁵ provided the esters **78a**–**c**, which were successively reduced and brominated as above to furnish the required 1-aryl-4-(bromomethyl)pyrazoles 80a-c. Synthesis of the isomeric 1-aryl-3-linked-pyrazoles 37-39 commenced with the reaction of ethyl 2-chloroacetoacetate (81) and known^{36,37} 4-substituted benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborates (82a-c) to give³⁸ the intermediate arylhydrazonoyl chlorides 83a-c (Scheme 4). These underwent a [3 + 2] cycloaddition with bicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene, followed by a retro Diels—Alder reaction (in refluxing xylenes), to form^{39,40} the ethyl 1-arylpyrazole-3-carboxylates 84a-c, which were elaborated to the 1-aryl-3-(bromomethyl)pyrazoles **86a**—**c** in similar fashion.

2-(Chloromethyl)-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (92), employed in the preparation of the oxadiazole analogue 46, was prepared directly by chlorination (POCl₃) of N'-(chloroacetyl)-4-fluorobenzohydrazide (91), obtained by chloroacetylation of 4-fluorobenzohydrazide (90) (Scheme 5). The 2-aryl-4-(bromomethyl)-1,2,3-triazoles (99a-d) required for the preparation of 2-aryl-4-linked-1,2,3-triazoles 53–56 were synthesized by the condensation of 2-oxopropanedial dioxime (94) and arylhydrazines (95a-d) to give the intermediate dioximes 96a-d (Scheme 6). These dioximes were successively acetylated (Ac₂O), cyclized with cesium carbonate, and treated

Scheme 3^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (i) MeNHNH₂.H₂SO₄, aq NaHCO₃, CuI, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, THF, 20 °C, 48 h under CO; (ii) 4 M HCl, THF, 80 °C, 16 h; (iii) PBr₃, Et₂O, 0-20 °C, 16 h; (iv) **61**, NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 2 h.

Scheme 4^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (i) NaOAc, aq AcOH, 100 °C, 15 h; (ii) isoamyl nitrite, THF, reflux, 20 h; (iii) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0−20 °C or reflux, 1-2~h; (iv) PBr $_3$, Et $_2$ O, $0-20~^{\circ}$ C, 2-17~h; (v) **61**, NaH, DMF, $0~^{\circ}$ C, 2~h;(vi) aq pyridine, -5 °C, 0.5 h; (vii) bicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene, Et₃N, toluene, 70 °C, 1 h, then xylenes, reflux, 2 h.

with paraformaldehyde (rather than s-trioxane as reported⁴⁴) to give the triazole aldehydes 97a-d, which were further elaborated to the required bromides. Finally, the 2-aryl-5-(bromomethyl)tetraazoles 103a-d needed for the preparation of 2-aryltetrazole analogues 57-60 were obtained from the known⁴⁵ 2-(2-arylhydrazono)acetic acids (100a-d) by base-catalyzed reaction 46 with 2-azido-1,3,5-tribromobenzene,⁴⁷ followed by esterification with diazomethane, to give 2-aryltetrazole esters 101a-d. Following ester reduction, the alcohols (102a-d) were brominated using either PBr₃ or NBS/ Ph₃P (Scheme 6).

Results and Discussion

Table 1 provides data on 11 subseries related to the previously described biphenyl analogues, in which the phenyl ring proximal to the 2-nitroimidazooxazine chromophore was replaced by different 5-membered ring heterocycles. These ranged from monoheterocyclic species (thiophene; class A), through various N, mixed N/S, and N/O di- and triheterocycles, to a tetraheterocycle (tetrazole; class K). For comparison, previous data⁶ are given for five *meta*-linked biphenyl (MLB) analogues (4-8) which have the most similar side chain geometry to the new analogues, together with data⁶ for 1

Scheme 5^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 1 h, then TBAF, THF, 0 °C, 1 h; (ii) Et₃N, THF, 0-20 °C, 16 h; (iii) ClCH₂COCl, EtOAc, reflux, 30 min; (iv) POCl₃, reflux, 2 h; (v) 61, NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 0.5 h; (vi) CuI, Cu(OAc)₂, THF, 50 °C, 16 h (then, for 48: TFAA, THF, reflux, 2 h).

Scheme 6^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (i) EtOH, 70 °C, 0.5 h; (ii) Ac₂O, 20 °C, 0.5 h, then Cs_2CO_3 , THF, 20 °C, 0.5 h, then $(CH_2O)_n$, 2 M HCl, reflux, 2 h; (iii) NaBH₄, MeOH, 20 °C, 0.5 h; (iv) PBr₃, Et₂O, 0-20 °C, 15-16 h or NBS, PPh₃, CH₂Cl₂, -40 °C, 2 h; (v) **61**, NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 1-2 h; (vi) NaOEt, EtOH, 2,4,6-triBrPhN₃, reflux, 5 h, then aq HCl, then CH₂N₂, Et₂O, MeOH, 20 °C; (vii) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 °C, 1 h.

and 3. The lipophilicities of the compounds were estimated by CLogP values, calculated using ACD LogP/LogD prediction software (version 8.0, Advanced Chemistry Development Inc., Toronto, Canada), as described for the biphenyl series. The comparative effects of the heterocyclic groups on compound CLogP values were evaluated using the reference set of biphenyl analogues (4-8) and determining, for each arylheterocyclic subseries, the average difference in CLogP values between compounds in the reference and subseries sets bearing the same substituents (Table 2). All of the arylheterocyclic compounds were more hydrophilic than their MLB

Table 1. Physicochemical Properties and MIC Values for Arylheterocyclic Analogues of 1

					$MIC (\mu M)^c$	
compd	Fm	R	sol^a	$CLogP^b$	MABA	LORA
1			19	2.70	0.50 ± 0.30	2.6 ± 1.4
3			1.2	4.36	0.035 ± 0.015	1.3 ± 0.1
4	M	4-CF ₃	2.8	4.48	0.12 ± 0.01	2.9 ± 1.4
5	M	4-CN		2.83	0.23 ± 0.01	4.8 ± 1.1
6	M	4-F	1.8	3.44	0.077 ± 0.034	3.8 ± 0.7
7	M	4-OCF ₃		4.36	0.11 ± 0.05	2.2 ± 0.5
8	M	3-F, 4-OCH ₃	0.7	3.30	0.19 ± 0.10	2.2 ± 0.5
9	A	4-CF ₃	0.7	4.29	0.16 ± 0	1.0 ± 0.4
10 11	A A	4-CN 4-F		2.63 3.25	0.055 ± 0.005 0.05 ± 0.01	1.7 ± 0.6 1.9 ± 0.5
12	A	4-r 4-OCF ₃		4.16	0.03 ± 0.01 0.85 ± 0.01	1.9 ± 0.5 2.6 ± 0.5
13	A	3-F, 4-OCH ₃		3.10	0.05 ± 0.01 0.06 ± 0	1.0 ± 0.3
14	A	4-OCF ₂ H		3.31	0.86 ± 0.01	0.58 ± 0.20
15	A	3-aza, 4-OCH ₃	2.2	2.50	0.30 ± 0.01 0.22 ± 0.02	4.5 ± 0.20
16	A	2-aza, 5-CF ₃	2.2	2.94	0.28 ± 0.16	1.7 ± 0.2
17	A	3-aza, 4-CF ₃	8.9	3.37	0.05 ± 0	4.4 ± 2.3
18	A	3-aza, 4-F	0.5	1.99	0.86 ± 0.04	2.3 ± 0.7
19	A	3-aza, 5-F		2.01	0.87 ± 0.05	2.3 ± 0.3
20	В	4-CF ₃	2.8	3.74	1.4 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.3
21	В	4-CN		2.43	0.67 ± 0.31	3.2 ± 0.3
22	В	4-F		2.99	0.35 ± 0.13	2.8 ± 1.0
23	В	4-OCF ₃		3.97	1.2 ± 0.6	1.5 ± 0.1
24	В	3-F, 4-OCH ₃		3.14	0.20 ± 0.04	1.7 ± 0.3
25	В	3 -aza, 4 -OCH $_3$		2.55	1.4 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.9
26	C	4-CF ₃	16	2.00	0.65 ± 0.16	9.7 ± 4.0
27	C	4-CN		0.69	2.8 ± 1.1	> 32
28	C	4-F		1.28	1.9 ± 0.1	31 ± 0
29	C	4-OCF ₃		2.24	1.1 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 1.2
30	C	3-F, 4-OCH ₃		1.40	1.4 ± 0.4	> 32
31	D	4-CF ₃	1.4	2.58	0.06 ± 0	4.1 ± 0.2
32	D	4-F		1.54	0.36 ± 0.02	4.0 ± 0.5
33	D	4-OCF ₃	4.0	2.46	0.06 ± 0	0.58 ± 0.20
34 35	E E	4-CF ₃ 4-F	4.9	2.22 1.70	0.54 ± 0.30	2.3 ± 0.6
36	E	4-0CF ₃		2.60	0.80 ± 0.13 0.15 ± 0.03	3.9 ± 0.8 1.8 ± 0.2
37	F	4-CF ₃	5.4	2.22	0.13 ± 0.03 0.045 ± 0.005	1.0 ± 0.2 1.0 ± 0.1
38	F	4-CF ₃	3.4	1.70	0.043 ± 0.003 0.11 ± 0.02	7.2 ± 2.4
39	F	4-OCF ₃		2.60	0.05 ± 0.01	0.61 ± 0.41
40	G	4-CF ₃	0.5	2.19	0.03 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01	2.6 ± 1.0
41	G	4-CN	0.5	0.53	1.4 ± 0.5	6.2 ± 2.1
42	G	4-F		1.15	1.5 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 0.6
43	G	4-OCF ₃		2.07	0.55 ± 0.19	1.2 ± 0.5
44	G	3-F, 4-OCH ₃		1.00	1.1 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0
45	G	3-aza, 4-OCH ₃		0.40	2.0 ± 1.1	4.1 ± 0.9

Table 1. Continued

					$MIC (\mu M)^c$	
compd	Fm	R	sol^a	$CLogP^b$	MABA	LORA
46	Н	4-F	108	0.65	2.4 ± 0.8	17 ± 0
47	I	4-CF ₃	4.6	1.91	0.11 ± 0.02	3.4 ± 0.2
48	I	4-CN		0.77	0.89 ± 0.04	11 ± 5
49	I	4-F		1.39	1.2 ± 0.2	6.9 ± 3.4
50	I	4-OCF ₃		2.29	0.075 ± 0.015	3.3 ± 0.2
51	I	3-F, 4-OCH ₃		1.25	0.86 ± 0.13	8.7 ± 1.2
52	I	3-aza, 4-OCH ₃		0.44	1.6 ± 0.4	12 ± 6
53	J	Н		1.34	0.25 ± 0.04	2.2 ± 0.9
54	J	4-CF ₃	1.0	1.91	0.05 ± 0.01	2.1 ± 1.2
55	J	4-F		1.39	0.17 ± 0.06	1.4 ± 0.2
56	J	4-OCF ₃		2.29	0.03 ± 0	1.6 ± 0.2
57	K	Н		1.27	1.2 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 2.4
58	K	4-CF ₃	5.0	1.84	0.20 ± 0.03	1.4 ± 0.6
59	K	4-F		1.32	0.29 ± 0.17	3.4 ± 0.1
60	K	4-OCF ₃		2.22	0.035 ± 0.005	1.3 ± 0.4

^a Solubility (μg/mL) in water at pH = 7 and 20 °C, determined by HPLC (see Experimental Section, Method A). ^b CLogP values, calculated using the ACD LogP/LogD prediction software (version 8.0, Advanced Chemistry Development Inc., Toronto, Canada). ^c Minimum inhibitory concentration, determined under aerobic (MABA)⁵⁰ or anaerobic (LORA)⁴⁹ conditions. Each value is the mean of at least two independent determinations (29 determinations for 1) \pm SD.

analogues, but there was substantial variation across the heterocyclic subseries. The thiophene and thiazole classes (A and B) showed the least lipophilicity differences to MLB analogues, with average $\Delta CLogP$ values of -0.20 and -0.43, respectively. All of the other heterocyclic classes were much more hydrophilic and fairly similar, with average $\Delta CLogPs$ ranging from -1.90 (3,5-linked-1-methylpyrazole; class D) to -2.79 (2,5-linked-1,3,4-oxadiazole; class H).

The compounds were evaluated for their ability to inhibit M. tb in two assays (Table 1) in order to derive SAR for both aerobic and anaerobic activity, as described in previous studies. 4-6 The MABA (aerobic) assay evaluated the activity of compounds against replicating M. tb in an 8 day microplate-based assay using Alamar blue reagent (added on day 7).48 The LORA (anaerobic) assay (luminescence-based low-oxygen-recovery assay) screened for activity against bacteria in a nonreplicating state that models clinical persistence. using an 11 day high-throughput format and M. tb containing a plasmid with an acetamidase promoter driving a bacterial luciferase gene, where the bacteria had first been adapted to low oxygen conditions by extended culture. 49 Activity was quantified by the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC): the lowest compound concentration effecting a growth inhibition of > 90%. The values recorded in Table 1 represent the mean of at least two separate determinations (\pm SD). Because the arylheterocyclic compounds were significantly different in structure from 1 and the biphenyl analogues previously described, 6 assessment of mammalian cell cytotoxicity was also important. This was done ousing VERO cells (CCL-81, American Type Culture Collection) in a 72 h exposure, using a tetrazolium dye assay, against which all of the compounds were relatively nontoxic, with IC₅₀s $> 128 \mu M$ (data not shown).

The 5-arylthiophene derivatives **9**–**19** (class A) had broadly similar lipophilicities and MIC values to the corresponding MLB analogues (**4**–**8**). The mean MABA and LORA MICs for compounds **9**–**13** were 0.24 and 1.6 μ M respectively, compared with 0.15 and 3.2 μ M for compounds **4**–**8** (Table 2). However, the 4-CF₃Ph-thiophene **9** showed a 4-fold lower solubility than the corresponding biphenyl analogue **4** (0.7 versus 2.8 μ g/mL, Table 1). Therefore, the

Table 2. Summary of Calculated Lipophilicity Differences and Mean MICs for Compound Subsets

					Cs (µM)		
		$\Delta \mathrm{CLog}\mathrm{P}^a$	n^b	observed		predicted ^c	
compd	subseries			MABA	LORA	MABA	ratio ^c
4-8	M: <i>m</i> -linked biphenyl		5	0.15	3.2		
9-19	A: (2,5)-thiophene	-0.20^{d}	5	0.24	1.6	0.17	1.4
20-25	B: (2,4)-thiazole	-0.43^{d}	5	0.76	2.4	0.19	4.0
26-30	C: (2,5)-1-Me-imidazole	-2.16^{d}	5	1.6	> 22	0.52	3.1
31-33	D: (3,5)-1-Me-pyrazole	-1.90^{e}	3	0.16	2.9	0.45	1/2.8
34-36	E: (1,4)-pyrazole	-1.92^{e}	3	0.50	2.7	0.45	1.1
37-39	F: (1,3)-pyrazole	-1.92^{e}	3	0.068	2.9	0.45	1/6.6
40-45	G: (3,5)-isoxazole	-2.29^{d}	5	0.94	2.8	0.56	1.7
46	H: (2,5)-1,3,4-oxadiazole	-2.79^{f}	1	2.4	17	0.75	3.2
47-52	I: (1,4)-1,2,3-triazole	-2.16^{d}	5	0.63	6.7	0.52	1.2
53-56	J: (2,4)-1,2,3-triazole	-2.23^{e}	3	0.083	1.7	0.54	1/6.5
57-60	K: (2,5)-tetrazole	-2.30^{e}	3	0.18	2.0	0.56	1/3.1

^aMean difference in CLogP values between the heterocyclic subseries and the biphenyl reference compounds. ^b Number of compounds from which mean MICs were calculated. Predicted mean MABA MICs based on eq 1 (see text), using mean observed MABA MIC data for 4–8 and ΔCLogP values for each subseries. Ratio is observed/predicted value. ^d Calculations based on data from CF₃, CN, F, OCF₃, and 3-F, 4-OMe analogues. ^e Calculations based on data from CF₃, F, OCF₃ analogues. ^f Calculations based on data from F analogue only.

aza-containing compounds 15-19 were also prepared, aiming for compounds of higher solubility. While this objective was achieved (compound 17 displayed a 13-fold higher solubility than 9), overall, these aza-containing compounds had poorer MICs, with mean MABA and LORA values of 0.46 and 3.0 μ M, respectively (the exception being the 3-aza, 4-CF₃ analogue 17, with an aerobic MABA MIC value of $0.05 \,\mu\text{M}$). The slightly more hydrophilic 2-arylthiazole derivatives 20-25 (class B) were comparable to the corresponding MLB analogues in the LORA assay but were overall about 5-fold less potent than the latter in the MABA assay (mean MABA MIC values 0.76 versus 0.15 μ M, Table 2). The 4-CF₃Ph derivative 20 was also found to have equivalent aqueous solubility to the MLB analogue 4. Thus these two classes of arylheterocycles did not offer any significant advantages over the original biphenyl analogues.

The 2-aryl-1-methylimidazole derivatives **26–30** (class C) were much more hydrophilic, with a mean ΔCLogP value 2.2 units lower than the reference MLB analogues, and this translated into much higher aqueous solubility (16 µg/mL for the 4-CF₃Ph derivative **26**). However, these compounds had average MIC potencies about an order of magnitude poorer than for MLB analogues (mean MICs: MABA, 1.6 μ M, LORA, > 22 μ M). We have previously shown a negative correlation between hydrophilicity and MABA MIC values for a large set of substituted biphenyls (eq 1).

$$\log(\mathrm{MIC_{MABA}}) = -0.25\mathrm{CLogP} - 0.52\sum\sigma - 0.014 \quad (1)$$

Using this equation, a predicted mean MABA MIC value of 0.52 µM could be estimated for the 2-aryl-1-methylimidazoles (Table 2). This is an average increase, due to their lower lipophilicity, of about 3.5-fold compared with the MLB analogues 4-8. However, the mean measured MABA MIC value (1.6 μ M) was about 3-fold poorer than that, suggesting little further interest in these compounds. It is possible that the significantly reduced potency of this particular class (in both assays) may arise from an unfavorable steric effect associated with the N-methyl group located between the 5-methylene and 2-arvl substituents (cf. class D below), which could result in a less optimal binding to the nitroreductase; studies are underway to evaluate such effects in more detail.

Three classes of pyrazoles (D-F) of slightly less hydrophilicity (mean $\Delta CLogP$ 1.9 units lower than for MLB analogues) showed more promising results. The 3-aryl-1methylpyrazole derivatives 31–33 (class D) were equipotent to the MLB analogues overall (3-fold more active in MABA than expected based on their lipophilicity. Table 2), with one analogue, 33 (4-OCF₃Ph), highly effective in both assays (MICs of 0.06 and 0.58 μ M in MABA and LORA, respectively). The 1-aryl-4-linked-pyrazole derivatives 34— 36 (class E) retained similar LORA potencies but were generally about 3-fold less potent in the MABA assay (average MABA MIC of $0.50 \,\mu\text{M}$, equivalent to their predicted mean potency). The 1-aryl-3-linked-pyrazole derivatives 37–39 (class F) displayed the highest average MABA potency of all the 11 subseries (0.068 μ M; about 7-fold better than expected based on their lipophilicity), with the 4-OCF₃Ph derivative (39) displaying particularly high potencies, similar to 33 in both assays. Encouragingly, the 4-CF₃Ph derivatives in both 1-arylpyrazole classes (E and F: 34 and 37, respectively) also showed about 2-fold higher solubilities than the biphenyl analogue 4 (whereas 31, class D, showed a 2-fold lower solubility than 4).

The 3-arylisoxazole derivatives 40-45 (class G) were more hydrophilic than the above pyrazoles (mean CLogP value 2.3 units lower than for MLB analogues), but in this case the aqueous solubility of the 4-CF₃Ph derivative 40 was rather poor $(0.5 \,\mu\text{g/mL}, \text{Table 1})$. Like the thiazoles (class B), these compounds were significantly less potent overall in the MABA assay (6-fold less than MLB analogues, slightly worse than predicted, Table 2) but retained LORA potency. Only a single 5-aryl-1,3,4-oxadiazole derivative (46, 4-FPh, class H) was evaluated. This heterocycle provided the highest hydrophilicity ($\Delta CLogP - 2.79$) and the highest aqueous solubility (108 μ g/mL) but the poorest activity of all the subseries (the measured MABA MIC was 1.65 μ M higher than that estimated by eq 1), so was not investigated further.

Finally, two 1,2,3-triazole-based subseries were evaluated, the 1-aryl-4-linked (47–52; class I) and the 2-aryl-4-linked (53–56; class J), together with some 2-aryltetrazole analogues (57–60; class K). These compounds all showed very similar hydrophilicities to the 2-aryl-1-methylimidazole derivatives 26-30 (class C) and the 3-arylisoxazole derivatives 40-45 (class G). The class J triazoles were the more active isomer series (mean MICs 2-fold better than for MLB analogues in both assays, about 6.5-fold better than predicted on lipophilicity grounds and 4- to 8-fold better than for class I), with the 4-OCF₃Ph derivative **56** being the most potent of all the compounds in the MABA assay (MIC 0.03 μ M). The 2-aryltetrazoles displayed mean MIC potencies comparable to the MLB analogues (about 3-fold higher than predicted), although 4-OCF₃Ph derivative **60** was essentially as active as triazole **56**. For these three subseries, the 2-aryltetrazole (class K) and 1-aryl-4-linked-1,2,3-triazole (class I) showed modest improvements in aqueous solubility (about 1.6- to 1.8-fold for the 4-CF₃Ph derivatives **47** and **58** over the biphenyl analogue **4**), whereas the 2-aryl-4-linked-triazoles (class J) were less soluble.

Overall then, four heterocyclic subseries (5-arylthiophene, 3-arylisoxazole, 1-aryl-4-linked-pyrazole, and 1-aryl-4-linked-1,2,3-triazole derivatives) showed aerobic (MABA) potencies similar to those expected based on their lipophilicities (Table 2), three subseries (2-arylthiazole, 2-aryl-1-methylimidazole, and 5-aryl-1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives) showed slightly poorer MABA activities than predicted (3- to 4-fold), while a further four subseries (3-aryl-1-methylpyrazole, 1-aryl-3-linked-pyrazole, 2-aryl-4-linked-triazole, and 2-aryl-5linked-tetrazole analogues) were 3- to 7-fold more potent than expected (MABA assay). Of these latter four, the 1-aryl-3linked-pyrazoles, class F, and the 2-aryltetrazoles, class K, provided compounds with both lower lipophilicities and modestly (2-fold) improved aqueous solubilities, compared to the original biphenyl analogues. Interestingly, from an anaerobic (LORA) potency perspective, only two heterocyclic subseries (2-aryl-1-methylimidazole and 5-aryl-1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives) were significantly different from the MLB analogues (5-to > 7-fold less active). This is consistent with results from

Table 3. Average Ranking of Substituents According to MABA and LORA Activity

	ranki	ng A ^a	ranking \mathbf{B}^b		
substituent	MABA	LORA	MABA	LORA	
4-F	3.4	3.0	3.0	2.4	
4-OCF ₃	3.0	1.8	1.2	1.2	
4-CF ₃	2.6	2.6	1.6	2.4	
3-F, 4-OCH ₃	2.6	2.8			
4-CN	3.6	4.6			
3-aza, 4-OCH ₃	5.5	5.8			

^a Value obtained by ranking the MIC potencies of the different substituted compounds in the five largest subseries (1 = most potent, 6 = least potent, for classes A, B, C, G, I) and averaging these "substituent" rankings (see text). ^b Similar value (see footnote a) obtained for the five subseries having only three common substituents (classes D, E, F, J, K).

previous studies,^{4–6} which describe different SAR for aerobic and anaerobic activity with various nitroimidazole analogues and may indicate that a different mechanism of activation applies under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

Broadly, the results above also suggest that compound lipophilicity (alone) is much less strongly correlated with aerobic potency for this diverse set of heterobiaryl analogues of 1 than for the earlier biphenyl compounds.⁶ Indeed, eq 2 shows an identical coefficient to eq 1 (suggesting a similar trend), although the correlation itself had low statistical significance:

$$log(MIC_{MABA}) = -0.25CLogP + 0.059$$

 $n = 52$ $R = 0.41$ $F = 9.9$ (2)

While some uncertainties in the estimated CLogP values and measured MIC data (or possibly, in the case of the 2-aryl-1-methylimidazoles, the influence of subtle steric effects) may in part explain such findings, the unexpectedly high potency of certain nitrogen heterocyclic subseries suggests that there is more to learn about the nature of the proposed⁵ hydrophobic binding areas in the nitroreductase, which could be explored in further studies.

The presence of several subsets of compounds bearing the same terminal substituents also permitted an evaluation of the comparative utility of these substituents, especially any differential effects on replicating versus nonreplicating bacteria. Table 3 gives "average" rankings for the up to six common substituent patterns, obtained by ranking the MIC potencies of the compounds bearing them within each subseries (e.g., 1, 2, 3,...), and then averaging these rankings. To compensate for a smaller set of common substituents (3) across five subseries (classes D, E, F, J and K), separate analyses were performed for these and for the five larger-sized subseries (classes A, B, C, G, and I), generating two rankings (A and B). For activity in the MABA assay across the full substituent set (ranking A), 3-F, 4-OCH₃, and 4-CF₃ were slightly better than 4-OCF₃ and 4-F (with 3-aza, 4-OCH₃ clearly the least effective), whereas for the smaller substituent set (ranking B) 4-OCF₃ was slightly superior to 4-CF₃ and 4-F was less effective. The rankings in LORA were more pronounced and consistent, with 4-OCF₃ clearly the best for both substituent set sizes, while 4-CN and 3-aza, 4-OCH₃ were the least effective.

A subset of compounds was evaluated for their stabilities in a metabolism screen with human and mouse liver microsome preparations (Table 4; data for 1 and biphenyl analogue 3 are also provided for comparison). We have previously found at least moderate microsomal stability (> 50% remaining after 1 h) to be a prerequisite to achieve in vivo efficacies better than 1.6

Table 4. Microsomal Stability and in Vivo Efficacy Data for Selected Analogues

				microsomes (%	remaining at 1 h)		
compd	subseries	substituent	TD sol ^a	H^b	\mathbf{M}^c	in vivo efficacy ^d (ratio vs 1)	
1			11	82	94	1.00	
3	<i>p</i> -linked biphenyl	OCF_3	< 0.5	97	96	> 205	
10	(2,5)-thiophene	CN		85	54	0.02	
17	(2,5)-thiophene	3-aza, 4-CF ₃		85	77	2.3	
33	(3,5)-1-Me-pyrazole	OCF_3	0.88	86	81	12	
36	(1,4)-pyrazole	OCF_3		87	64	1.5	
39	(1,3)-pyrazole	OCF_3	23	87	67	41	
50	(1,4)-1,2,3-triazole	OCF_3		99	74	0.34	
60	(2,5)-tetrazole	OCF ₃		97	81	4.3	

^a Thermodynamic solubility (μ g/mL) in water at pH = 7.4 and 20 °C, determined by HPLC (see Experimental Section, Method B). ^b Pooled human liver microsomes. ^e Pooled CD-1 mouse liver microsomes. ^d Fold reduction in lung CFUs for compound compared with the fold CFU reduction for 1 in a mouse model of acute TB infection (see text).

All compounds assayed were found to be very stable toward human microsomes (>80% remaining after incubation at 37 °C for 1 h), and most (except perhaps the thiophene analogue 10) were adequately stable toward mouse microsomes (although less stable than 1 and 3). These compounds were further evaluated for their antitubercular effects in a mouse model of acute M. tb infection, using a once daily oral dose of 100 mg/kg for 5 days a week for 3 weeks, following established protocols. 50 The clinical trial drug 1 was employed as an internal standard, with activity recorded as the ratio of the fold decrease in colony forming units (CFUs) recovered from the lungs of compound-treated mice compared to the corresponding fold CFU decrease achieved by treatment with 1, to allow interexperiment comparisons (Table 4). Examples derived from six of the 11 heterocyclic subseries were evaluated. The most active compound was the 1-aryl-3-linkedpyrazole 39, which showed a 41-fold greater efficacy than 1 in this model. Two further compounds, the 3-aryl-1-methylpyrazole 33 and the 2-aryltetrazole 60, also showed significant in vivo activity in this assay (respectively 12-fold and 4-fold greater than 1). Finally, accurate (thermodynamic) solubility determinations were obtained on the two best candidates, 39 and 33, together with reference compounds 1 and 3 (Table 4). The 1-aryl-3-linked-pyrazole 39 was twice as soluble as 1 (23 vs 11 μ g/mL) and >45-fold more soluble than biphenyl analogue 3. However, 3-aryl-1-methylpyrazole 33 was 12.5-fold less soluble than 1.

Conclusions

The results of this study showed that heterobiary lanalogues of 1 were more hydrophilic than the related biphenyl series (I), as determined by calculated $\Delta CLogP$ values, but that there was no overall correlation between hydrophilicity and measured aqueous solubility for the 4-CF₃Ph-substituted analogues across the 10 major subseries. However, six of the heterocycles did provide significant increases in solubility (e.g., 6-fold for the N-methylimidazole analogue 26, 60-fold for the 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivative 46). A quantitative correlation found previously between MIC potencies against replicating M. tb (MABA assay) and compound lipophilicity was employed to calculate the predicted activities of various heterocyclic subseries for comparison with measured values. The results showed that compound lipophilicity was much less strongly correlated with aerobic potency for this diverse set of heterobiaryl analogues of 1 than for the earlier biphenyl series. However, four subseries (3-aryl-1-methylpyrazole, 1-aryl-3linked-pyrazole, 2-aryl-4-linked-triazole, and 2-aryl-5-linkedtetrazole analogues) were identified from this work as having excellent potencies against both replicating M. tb (MABA assay) and nonreplicating M. tb (LORA assay). In terms of both retaining good activity and improving solubility, the 1-aryl-3-linked-pyrazole and 2-aryltetrazole subseries seemed to offer the most potential. Representatives of these two classes (pyrazole 39 and tetrazole 60), together with a 1-methylpyrazole analogue (33), showed significantly greater efficacy than 1 (4- to 41-fold) in a mouse model of acute M. tb infection, and the best of these (pyrazole **39**) was 2-fold more soluble than **1**.

Experimental Section

Combustion analyses were performed by the Campbell Microanalytical Laboratory, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal 2300 melting point apparatus and are as read. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer at 400 MHz for ¹H and are referenced to Me₄Si. Chemical shifts and coupling constants are recorded in units of ppm and Hz, respectively. Low resolution atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) mass spectra were measured for organic solutions on a Thermo-Finnigan Surveyor MSQ mass spectrometer, connected to a Gilson autosampler. Thin-layer chromatography was carried out on aluminum-backed silica gel plates (Merck 60 F₂₅₄) with visualization of components by UV light (254 nm) or exposure to I₂. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (Merck 230-400 mesh). Tested compounds were ≥95% pure, as determined by combustion analysis, or by HPLC conducted on an Agilent 1100 system, using a reversed phase C8 column with diode array detection.

Procedure A. (6S)-6-[(5-Bromo-2-thienyl)methoxy]-2-nitro-6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (63) (Scheme 1). NaH (60% w/w, 0.60 g, 15.0 mmol) was added to a solution of (6S)-2-nitro-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazin-6-ol¹⁶ (61) (2.00 g, 10.8 mmol) and 2-bromo-5-(bromomethyl)thiophene¹⁷ (62) (3.20 g, 12.5 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (40 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h and then poured onto ice and extracted with EtOAc ($2 \times 200 \text{ mL}$). The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue using a gradient (1:1 hexanes: EtOAc to EtOAc) gave 63 (2.985 g, 77%) as a white solid: mp 139–140 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.00 (s, 1 H), 7.11 (d, J =3.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H),4.76 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.60 (dt, J = 12.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.44(d, $J = 12.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 4.25-4.19 \text{ (m, 3 H)}. \text{ Anal. } (C_{11}H_{10} BrN_3O_4S)$ C, H, N.

Procedure B. (6S)-2-Nitro-6-({5-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-thienyl $\}$ methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (9). A mixture of 63 (0.205 g, 0.569 mmol) and 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid (0.130 g, 0.684 mmol) in toluene (10 mL), EtOH (6 mL), and aqueous K₂CO₃ (2M, 2 mL) was purged with N₂ for 5 min. PdCl₂(dppf) (10 mg, 0.014 mmol) was added, and the mixture was refluxed under N₂ for 0.5 h. The resulting solution was partitioned between EtOAc and water, and the organic layer was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography of the residue using a gradient (1:1 hexanes: EtOAc to EtOAc) gave 9 (0.161 g, 67%) as a white solid: mp 210-211 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (d, J =3.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.88 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1 H),4.85 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.65 (dt, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1 Hz, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.0, 1 Hz, $J = 11.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 4.30 - 4.22 \text{ (m, 3 H)}. \text{ Anal. } (C_{18}H_{14}F_3N_3O_4S) \text{ C},$ H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 10–19 from bromothiophene 63.

(6S)-6-[(2-Chloro-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)methoxy]-2-nitro-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (65) (Scheme 1). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 2-chloro-4-(chloromethyl)-1,3-thiazole¹⁸ (64) (1.01 equiv) and NaH in DMF, using procedure A, followed by column chromatography (eluting with EtOAc) gave 65 (27%) as a cream solid: mp 161-163 °C. ¹H NMR $[(CD_3)_2SO] \delta 8.01 (s, 1 H), 7.59 (s, 1 H), 4.71-4.61 (m, 3 H),$ 4.47 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.30-4.19 (m, 3 H). APCI MS m/z317, 319 $[M + H]^+$

(6S)-2-Nitro-6-({2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,3-thiazol-4-yl}methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (20). Reaction of 65 and 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid under the Suzuki coupling conditions described in procedure B for 1 h gave **20** (51%) as a white solid: mp 170–172 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.14 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H),7.75 (s, 1 H), 4.84 (dd, J = 12.9, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.80 (dd, J = 12.9, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.69 (dt, J = 12.0, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.38-4.34 (m, 1 H), 4.32 (dt, J = 13.5, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.25 (dd, J = 13.5, 3.3 Hz, 1 H). Anal. $(C_{17}H_{13}F_3N_4O_4S) C$, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 21–25 from chlorothiazole 65.

Methyl 1-Methyl-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-imidazole-5-carboxylate (67a) (Scheme 2). Reaction of methyl 2-bromo-1-methyl-1H-imidazole-5-carboxylate³⁰ (66) and 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid under the Suzuki coupling conditions described in procedure B for 2 h, followed by column chromatography using a gradient (0–10% EtOAc:CH₂Cl₂), gave 67a (83%) as cream flakes: mp 100–101 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (s, 1 H), 7.78–7.73 (br s, 4 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H), 3.90 (s, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 285 [M + H]⁺.

Procedure C. {**1-Methyl-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1***H***-imidazol-5-yl}methanol** (**68a**). LiAlH₄ (0.036 g, 0.95 mmol) was added to a solution of **67a** (0.138 g, 0.486 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc (100 mL). The organic fraction was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to give **68a** (0.112 g, 90%) as a white solid: mp 158–161 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 7.89 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.98 (s, 1 H), 5.13 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.51 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 257 [M + H]⁺.

Procedure D. 5-(Chloromethyl)-1-methyl-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)-phenyl]-1*H*-imidazole hydrochloride (69a). A solution of 68a (0.106 g, 0.414 mmol) in SOCl₂ (2 mL) was refluxed for 0.5 h and then evaporated. The residue was triturated in Et₂O to give crude 69a (0.106 g, 83%) as a white solid, which was used directly in the next step. 1 H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.05–7.99 (br s, 1 H), 7.81 (s, 1 H), 5.09 (s, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H).

(6*S*)-6-({1-Methyl-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-imidazol5-yl}methoxy)-2-nitro-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (26). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 69a (0.96 equiv) and NaH (3.0 equiv) in DMF, using procedure A, followed by column chromatography (eluting with 95:5 EtOAc:MeOH containing 2% aq NH₃) gave 26 (26%) as a white solid: mp 163-165 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.02 (s, 1 H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.18 (s, 1 H), 4.78–4.65 (m, 3 H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.29–4.20 (m, 3 H), 3.65 (s, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₈H₁₆F₃N₅O₄·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 27–30 from bromoimidazole 66, via the intermediates 67b–e, 68b–e and 69b–e.

Procedure E. 1-Methyl-5-[(tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yloxy)methyl]-3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-pyrazole (72a) (Scheme 3). A solution of 2-(2-propynyloxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran³³ (70) (0.758 g, 5.41 mmol), CuI (17 mg, 0.089 mmol), and PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (0.158 g, 0.225 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was purged with N₂. 1-Iodo-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (71a) (1.30 g, 4.78 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, followed by a solution of methylhydrazine sulfate (1.95 g, 13.5 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (2.27 g, 27.0 mmol) in water (25 mL). The mixture was flushed with carbon monoxide and then stirred at room temperature for 2 d under one atmosphere of carbon monoxide. The resulting mixture was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and water, the organic fraction was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue (eluting with CH_2Cl_2) gave **72a** (0.753 g, 46%) as a brown solid: mp 62-64 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 6.58 (s, 1 H), 4.76 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 3.92-3.84 (m, 1 H), 3.61-3.54 (m, 1 H), 1.88-1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.67-1.49 (m, 4 H). APCI $MS m/z 341 [M + H]^+$

Procedure F. {1-Methyl-3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H***-pyrazol-5-yl}methanol** (**73a**). A solution of **72a** (0.657 g, 1.93 mmol) in HCl (4M, 10 mL) and THF (10 mL) was stirred at 80 °C for 16 h. The THF was evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and aqueous NaHCO₃. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and the residue was recrystallized ($^{\rm i}$ Pr₂O) to give **73a** (0.371 g, 75%) as a white solid: mp 161–163 °C. $^{\rm l}$ H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 7.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.74 (s, 1 H), 5.32 (br s, 1 H), 4.54 (br s, 2 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 257 [M + H] $^{+}$.

Procedure G. 5-(Bromomethyl)-1-methyl-3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)-phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazole (74a). PBr₃ (0.15 mL, 1.60 mmol) was added

to a solution of **73a** (0.200 g, 0.781 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, cooled to 0 °C, quenched with ice, and then diluted with Et₂O (100 mL). The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue (eluting with CH₂Cl₂) gave **74a** (0.212 g, 85%) as a white solid: mp 68–70 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.62 (s, 1 H), 4.50 (s, 2 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 319, 321 [M + H]⁺.

(6*S*)-6-({1-Methyl-3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazol-5-yl}-methoxy)-2-nitro-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (31). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 74a (1.0 equiv) and NaH (1.6 equiv) in DMF, using procedure A, gave 31 (82%) as a white solid: mp $220-222\,^{\circ}\text{C}$. ^{1}H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.02 (s, 1 H), 7.96 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.73 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.86 (s, 1 H), 4.78 (d, J=12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.73 (d, J=12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (dt, J=12.1, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (d, J=11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.32–4.21 (m, 3 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₈H₁₆F₃N₅O₄) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 32 and 33 from alkyne 70 and iodobenzenes 71b.c. via the intermediates 72b.c. 73b.c. and 74b.c.

Procedure H. Ethyl 5-Amino-1-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]- 1*H***-pyrazole-4-carboxylate** (**77a**) (**Scheme 4**). A mixture of ethyl (2*E*)-2-cyano-3-ethoxy-2-propenoate (**75**) (0.935 g, 5.53 mmol), 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylhydrazine (**76a**) (0.881 g, 5.00 mmol), and NaOAc (0.90 g, 11.0 mmol) in AcOH (7.5 mL) and water (2.5 mL) was stirred at 100 °C under N₂ for 15 h. The mixture was poured onto ice, and the precipitate was filtered and recrystallized (MeOH/water) to give **77a** (1.032 g, 69%) as tan needles: mp 122–124 °C. 1 H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 7.90 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.77 (s, 1 H), 6.52 (br s, 2 H), 4.23 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 300 [M + H] $^{+}$.

Procedure I. Ethyl 1-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazole-4-carboxylate (78a). A solution of 77a (0.925 g, 3.09 mmol) and isoamyl nitrite (0.83 mL, 6.18 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was refluxed for 14 h. Further isoamyl nitrite (0.83 mL, 6.18 mmol) was added, and the solution was refluxed for an additional 6 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure to give a solid which was recrystallized (EtOH) to give 78a (0.739 g, 84%) as white flakes: mp 140–141 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.47 (s, 1 H), 8.12 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.35 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.39 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 285 [M + H]⁺.

Procedure J. {1-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl}methanol (79a). A mixture of 78a (0.452 g, 1.59 mmol) and LiAlH₄ (0.120 g, 3.16 mmol) in Et₂O (20 mL) was refluxed for 2 h. The resulting mixture was cooled to 0 °C, quenched with ice, diluted with Et₂O (100 mL), and filtered through celite. The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue (eluting with 1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) gave 79a (0.333 g, 86%) as white flakes: mp 80–81 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.99 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.76 (s, 1 H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.71 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.59 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H). APCI MS m/z 243 [M + H]⁺.

4-(Bromomethyl)-1-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazole (80a). Bromination of **79a** with PBr₃ (1.0 equiv) for 2 h, using procedure G, gave **80a** (89%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.02 (d, J = 0.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.77 (s, 1 H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.50 (s, 2 H). APCI MS m/z 305, 307 [M + H]⁺.

(6*S*)-2-Nitro-6-({1-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl}-methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (34). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 80a (1.0 equiv) and NaH (1.5 equiv) in DMF, using procedure A, gave 34 (73%) as a white solid: mp 180–181 °C. 1 H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.64 (s, 1 H), 8.06–8.01 (m, 3 H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.82 (s, 1 H), 4.67–4.58 (m, 3 H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.27–4.21 (m, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₇H₁₄F₃N₅O₄) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 35 and 36 from unsaturated ester 75 and arylhydrazine hydrochlorides 76b,c, via the intermediates 77b,c, 78b,c, 79b,c, and 80b,c.

Procedure K. Ethyl 2-Chloro{[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]hydrazono}ethanoate (83a) (Scheme 4). 4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate³⁶ (82a) (5.71 g, 22.0 mmol) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-chloroacetoacetate (81) (3.29 g, 20.0 mmol) in pyridine (6 mL) and water (6 mL) at -5 °C. The mixture was stirred at -5 °C for 0.5 h, and the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with ice cold water. Recrystallization from EtOH/water gave 83a (5.318 g, 90%) as orange needles: mp 140–141 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 10.84 (s, 1 H), 7.68 (d, $J = 8.7 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \,\mathrm{H}), 7.51 \,\mathrm{(d}, J = 8.7 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \,\mathrm{H}), 4.31 \,\mathrm{(t}, J = 7.1 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \,\mathrm{Hz})$ H), 1.31 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 293, 295 [M – H]⁻.

Procedure L. Ethyl 1-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-pyra**zole-3-carboxylate** (**84a**). A mixture of **83a** (1.47 g, 4.99 mmol), bicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene (2.5 mL, 24.6 mmol) and Et₃N (2.0 mL, 14.3 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was stirred at 70 °C for 1 h. The resulting mixture was cooled and filtered, the filter cake was washed with toluene (10 mL), and the organic fractions were combined and evaporated. The residue was refluxed in xylenes (30 mL) for 2 h. Column chromatography of the cooled reaction mixture, eluting with hexanes, first gave xylenes, and then further elution with CH₂Cl₂ gave 84a (1.126 g, 79%) as a white solid: mp 90–91 °C. 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.99 (d, J = 2.6Hz, 1 H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.03(d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.45 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.43 (t, J = 7.1 Hz)Hz, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 285 [M + H]⁺.

Procedure M. {1-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1*H*-pyrazol-3-yl}methanol (85a). LiAlH₄ (0.140 g, 3.69 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of **84a** (1.023 g, 3.60 mmol) in Et₂O (20 mL) at 0 °C, and the mixture was warmed to room temperature for 1 h, then cooled to 0 °C and quenched with ice. The resulting mixture was diluted with Et₂O (100 mL) and saturated aqueous sodium potassium tartrate (100 mL) and filtered through celite. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue (eluting with 95:5 CH₂Cl₂:EtOAc) gave **85a** (0.760 g, 87%) as cream flakes: mp 72–74 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, J =2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.51 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.03 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H)Hz, 1 H). APCI MS m/z 243 [M + H]⁺

3-(Bromomethyl)-1-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-pyrazole (86a). Bromination of 85a with PBr₃ (1.0 equiv) for 17 h, using procedure G, gave 86a (79%) as a cream solid: mp 81-83 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.57 (d, J = 2.5 Hz,1 H), 4.56 (s, 2 H). APCI MS m/z 305, 307 [M + H]⁺.

(6S)-2-Nitro-6- $(\{1-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-pyrazol-3$ yl $\mbox{methoxy}$ -6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine Reaction of alcohol 61 with 86a (1.06 equiv) and NaH (1.5 equiv) in DMF, using procedure A, gave 37 (68%) as a white solid: mp 161–163 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.61 (d, J = 2.6Hz, 1 H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.02 (s, 1 H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.6Hz, 2 H), 6.58 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.76-4.70 (m, 2 H), 4.66 (dt, J = 12.0, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.31-4.20 (m, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₇H₁₄F₃N₅O₄) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 38 and 39 from ester 81 and aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates 82b,c, via the intermediates 83b,c, 84b,c, 85b,c, and 86b,c.

(6S)-2-Nitro-6-(2-propynyloxy)-6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b]-[1,3]oxazine (88) (Scheme 5). NaH (60% w/w, 1.40 g, 35.0 mmol) was added to a solution of alcohol 61 (4.53 g, 24.5 mmol) and (3-bromo-1-propynyl)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane¹⁹ (87) (6.00 g, 25.7 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (60 mL) at 0 °C. The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then quenched with ice. The product was extracted with EtOAc, the extract was dried, and the solvent was evaporated. The oily residue was dissolved in THF (100 mL), cooled to 0 °C, and TBAF (30 mL, 1 M in THF) was added. The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, and then the THF was evaporated and the residue was partitioned (EtOAc/water). The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue using gradient elution (1:1 hexanes:

EtOAc to EtOAc) gave 88 (4.031 g, 74%) as a white solid: mp 81-82 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.02 (s, 1 H), 4.60 (d, J = 12.1Hz, 1 H), 4.46 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.33 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2 H), 4.30-4.21 (m, 3 H), 3.52 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H). Anal. (C₉H₉N₃O₄) C, H, N.

Procedure N. (6S)-2-Nitro-6-({3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-5-isoxazolyl}methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (40). Triethylamine (0.14 mL, 1.01 mmol) was added to a solution of alkyne 88 (0.215 g, 0.963 mmol) and N-hydroxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzenecarboximidoyl chloride²¹ (89a) (0.240 g, 1.07 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) at 0 °C, and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue, eluting with EtOAc, gave **40** (0.295 g, 75%) as a white solid: mp 204–206 °C. ¹H NMR $[(CD_3)_2SO] \delta 8.10 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 Hz, 2 H), 8.04 (s, 1 Hz, 2 Hz), 8.04 (s, 1$ 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.18 (s, 1 H), 4.92 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.88 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1 H)14.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (dt, J = 12.1, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.1Hz, 1 H), 4.38–4.23 (m, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₇H₁₃F₃N₄O₅) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 41-45 from alkyne 88 and the known 21-24 *N*-hydroxy-arylcarboximidoyl chlorides **89b**–**f**.

N'-(Chloroacetyl)-4-fluorobenzohydrazide (91) (Scheme 5). A solution of chloroacetyl chloride (0.62 mL, 7.78 mmol) in EtOAc (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 4-fluorobenzohydrazide (90) (1.00 g, 6.49 mmol) in EtOAc (100 mL) over 5 min. The mixture was refluxed for 30 min, the solvent was removed, and the residue was triturated in hexanes to give 91 (1.455 g, 97%) as a white solid: mp 158-160 °C. ¹H NMR $[(CD_3)_2SO] \delta 10.52$ (br s, 1 H), 10.35 (br s, 1 H), 7.97–7.92 (m, 2 H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 2 H), 4.19 (s, 2 H). APCI MS m/z 229, 231

 $\hbox{$2$-(Chloromethyl)-5$-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (92). A}$ mixture of 91 (0.711 g, 3.08 mmol) and POCl₃ (10 mL) was refluxed for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue using gradient elution $(0-10\% \text{ EtOAc:CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)$ gave **92** (0.485 g, 74%) as a white solid: mp 74–76 °C. 1 H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.10–8.04 (m, 2 H), 7.50-7.44 (m, 2 H), 5.13 (s, 2 H). APCI MS m/z 213, 215 $[M + H]^{-1}$

(6S)-6- $\{[5-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl]methoxy<math>\}$ -2nitro-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (46). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 92 (1.05 equiv) and NaH in DMF for 30 min, using procedure A, gave 46 (36%) as a tan solid: mp 170-171 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.08–8.02 (m, 3 H), 7.48–7.42 (m, 2 H), 5.02 (s, 2 H), 4.70 (dt, J = 12.2, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.2, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.51 $J = 12.2 \,\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \,\mathrm{H}, 4.45 - 4.42 \,\mathrm{(m, 1 H)}, 4.33 \,\mathrm{(dt,} J = 13.8, 2.0 \,\mathrm{Hz},$ 1 H), 4.26 (dd, J = 13.8, 3.2 Hz, 1 H). Anal. ($C_{15}H_{12}FN_5O_5$) C, H, N.

Procedure O. (6S)-2-Nitro-6-({1-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-vl}methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*]-[1,3]oxazine (47) (Scheme 5). A mixture of alkyne 88 (0.099 g, 0.44 mmol), 1-azido-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (93a) (0.198 g, 1.06 mmol), CuI (5 mg, 0.026 mmol), and Cu(OAc)₂ (5 mg, 0.028 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was stirred in a sealed tube at 50 °C for 16 h. The resulting mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water, the organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue using gradient elution (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc to EtOAc) gave 47 (0.095 g, 53%) as a white solid: mp 170–172 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.95 (s, 1 H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.85 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.81 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.69(dt, J = 12.0, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.36-4.22(m, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₆H₁₃F₃N₆O₄) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 48-52 from alkyne 88 and the known²⁵⁻²⁸ arylazides 93c-g.

Procedure P. 2-{[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]hydrazono} propanedial Dioxime (96b). 4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenylhydrazine **(95b)** (2.64 g, 15.0 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-oxopropanedial dioxime ⁴³ **(94)** (1.74 g, 15.0 mmol) in EtOH (20 mL). The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 0.5 h, and then water (30 mL) was added. The resulting precipitate was filtered and recrystallized by dissolving in 3:1 toluene:EtOH and refluxing until all of the EtOH had been removed, to give **96b** (1.68 g, 41%) as tan needles: mp 171–173 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂CO] δ 12.18 (s, 1 H), 11.26 (s, 1 H), 10.46 (s, 1 H), 8.38 (s, 1 H), 7.85 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H). APCI MS m/z 275 [M + H]⁺.

Procedure Q. 2-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2H-1,2,3-tria**zole-4-carbaldehyde** (97b). A solution of 96b (1.66 g, 6.05 mmol) in Ac₂O (15 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction solution was diluted with water (60 mL), stirred for a further 30 min, and then the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration. This solid was partitioned between EtOAc and water, and the organic fraction was dried and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting crude acetyl oxime was treated with Cs₂CO₃ (2.17 g, 6.66 mmol) in THF (70 mL), stirring at room temperature for 30 min, and the resulting mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed. The residue was dissolved in Et₂O, washed with water, and dried. Removal of the solvent gave a solid which was refluxed with paraformaldehyde (0.38 g, 11.9 mmol) in HCl (2M, 50 mL) for 2 h. The crude product was extracted with Et₂O and washed with water. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue (eluting with 1:1 hexanes: CH_2Cl_2) gave **97b** (0.739 g, 51%) as a pale-yellow solid: mp 80-81 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 10.24 (s, 1 H), 8.30 (s, 1 H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H). APCI MS m/ $z 240 [M - H]^{-}$

Procedure R. {2-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl}methanol (98b). NaBH₄ (0.21 g, 5.55 mmol) was added to a solution of 97b (0.663 g, 2.75 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and then the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in Et₂O and washed with water. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and then column chromatography of the residue (eluting with 9:1 CH₂Cl₂:EtOAc) gave 98b (0.557 g, 83%) as a white solid: mp 103–105 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.84 (s, 1 H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.90 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.96 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H). APCI MS m/z 242 [M – H]⁻.

4-(Bromomethyl)-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2H-1,2,3-tria-zole (99b). Bromination of **98b** with PBr₃ (1.0 equiv) for 15 h, using procedure G, gave **99b** (76%) as a white solid, which was used directly in the next step: mp 70-72 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.87 (s, 1 H), 7.75 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.60 (s, 2 H).

(6*S*)-2-Nitro-6-({2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2*H*-1,2,3-triazol4-yl}methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (54). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 99b (1.0 equiv) and NaH in DMF for 1 h, using procedure A, gave 54 (84%) as a white solid: mp 209–210 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.20 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.18 (s, 1 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.89 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.86 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (dt, J = 12.0, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.37–4.22 (m, 3 H). Anal. (C₁₆H₁₃F₃N₆O₄) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds 55 and 56 from dioxime 94 and arylhydrazines 95c,d, via the intermediates 96c,d, 97c,d, 98c,d, and 99c,d.

5-(Bromomethyl)-2-phenyl-2*H*-tetraazole (103a) (Scheme 6). *N*-Bromosuccinimide (0.184 g, 1.03 mmol) was added to a solution of (2-phenyl-2*H*-tetraazol-5-yl)methanol⁵² (102a) (0.122 g, 0.692 mmol) and PPh₃ (0.272 g, 1.04 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at -40 °C. The mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 2 h and then quenched with aqueous K₂CO₃ and warmed to room temperature. The resulting mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the extract was dried, and the solvent was removed. Chromatography of the residue (eluting with CH₂Cl₂) gave 103a (0.123 g, 74%) as a white solid: mp 70–71 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.14–8.09 (m, 2 H), 7.60–7.48 (m, 3 H), 4.72 (s, 2 H). APCI MS m/z 239, 241 [M + H]⁺.

(6*S*)-2-Nitro-6-[(2-phenyl-2*H*-tetraazol-5-yl)methoxy]-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (57). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 103a (1.0 equiv) and NaH (1.4 equiv for 1 h, then extra 1.4 equiv for an additional 1 h) in DMF, using procedure A, gave 57 (81%) as a white solid: mp 169-172 °C. ¹H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.10-8.05 (m, 2 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.59-7.50 (m, 3 H), 5.08 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.72 (dt, J = 12.1, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.46-4.42 (m, 1 H), 4.33 (dt, J = 13.7, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.27 (dd, J = 13.6, 3.3 Hz, 1 H). Anal. (C₁₄H₁₃N₇O₄) H, N. C: calcd, 48.98; found, 49.72. HPLC purity 100%.

Procedure S. Methyl 2-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2H-tetraazole-5-carboxylate (101b). Sodium (0.19 g, 8.26 mmol) was reacted with absolute ethanol (15 mL) and then 2-{[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]hydrazono}ethanoic acid⁴⁵ (100b) (0.978 g, 4.21 mmol) and 2-azido-1,3,5-tribromobenzene⁴⁷ (1.50 g, 4.22 mmol) were added. The mixture was refluxed for 5 h under nitrogen and then poured onto ice. The tribromoaniline was filtered off, and the filtrate was acidified to pH 1. The resulting precipitate was filtered and then dissolved in MeOH (40 mL). A solution of diazomethane in ether was added, and the mixture was stirred until gas evolution ceased. Removal of the solvent gave the crude product, which was chromatographed using gradient elution (3:1 CH₂Cl₂:hexanes to CH₂Cl₂), to give **101b** (0.127 g, 11%) as a white solid: mp 130-131 °C. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3) \delta 8.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H),$ 4.12 (s, 3 H). APCI MS m/z 273 [M + H]⁺.

{2-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2*H***-tetraazol-5-yl}methanol (102b).** Reduction of **101b** with LiAlH₄ (2.0 equiv) in Et₂O at 0 °C for 1 h, using procedure M, followed by column chromatography (eluting with 3:1 CH₂Cl₂:EtOAc), gave **102b** (77%) as a white solid: mp 61–62 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 5.08 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.28 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H). APCI MS m/z 245 [M + H]⁺.

5-(Bromomethyl)-2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2*H***-tetraazole** (103b). Bromination of 102b with PBr₃, using procedure G, gave 103b (32%) as a white solid, which was used directly in the next step: mp 60–61 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.72 (s, 2 H).

(6*S*)-2-Nitro-6-({2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2*H*-tetraazol-5-yl}-methoxy)-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine (58). Reaction of alcohol 61 with 103b (1.0 equiv) and NaH (1.5 equiv) in DMF, using procedure A, gave 58 (70%) as a white solid: mp 151–153 °C. 1 H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 8.32 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 5.11 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.72 (dt, J = 12.1, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.47–4.43 (m, 1 H), 4.34 (dt, J = 13.6, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.27 (dd, J = 13.7, 3.2 Hz, 1 H). Anal. (C₁₅H₁₂F₃N₇O₄) C, H, N.

See Supporting Information for details of the syntheses of related compounds **59** and **60** from 2-(2-arylhydrazono)acetic acids **100c**,**d**, via the intermediates **101c**,**d**, **102c**,**d**, and **103c**,**d**.

Solubility Determinations. Method A. The solid compound sample was mixed with water (enough to make a 2 mM solution) in an Eppendorf tube, and the suspension was sonicated for 15 min and then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 6 min. An aliquot of

the clear supernatant was diluted 2-fold with water, and then HPLC was conducted. The solubility was calculated by comparing the peak area obtained with that from a standard solution of the compound in DMSO (after allowing for varying dilution factors and injection volumes).

Method B. Aliquots of the compound DMSO stocks (10 mM) were transferred to pH 7.4 buffer, Hank's balanced salt solution containing 25 mM HEPES (HBSS), to give target concentrations of 250 μ M in compound and 2.5% DMSO. After equilibration at room temperature overnight, the solutions were filtered and the compound concentration was determined by fast gradient HPLC without further dilution of the samples. UV/vis/MS detection was used with reference to 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, and 250 μ M analytical standards, prepared from a 500 μ M intermediate stock solution, made by diluting 10 µL of the 10 mM sample stocks into 190 μ L of 50:50 (v/v) CH₃CN/water. Aliquots of this stock solution $(0.4, 2, 4, 20, 40, \text{ and } 100 \,\mu\text{L})$ were transferred in duplicate into a 96-well plate, with the volumes made up to a total of 200 µL by CH₃CN/water, and the plate was then heat sealed with a foil sheet. Prior to sample filtration, filters were primed with 600 μ L of sample to resolve potential adsorption problems. Duplicate determinations were made in all cases, with the average being reported.

The study was conducted by Admetryx, 4717 Campus Drive, Kalamazoo, MI 49008.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Assays (MABA and LORA). These were carried out according to the published protocols. 49,50

Microsomal Stability Assays. These were conducted by MDS Pharma Services, 22011 30th Drive SE, Bothell, WA 98021, using a published protocol.⁶ The percentage of compound remaining after 1 h incubation was calculated as:

%remaining = $100 \times (mean PAR_{T60}/meanPAR_{T0})$

where PAR = analyte/IS peak area ratio

In Vivo Acute TB Infection Assay. Each compound was administered orally to a group of 7 M. tb-infected BALB/c mice at a standard dose of 100 mg/kg, daily for 5 days a week for 3 weeks, beginning on day 11 postinfection, in accordance with published protocols.^{6,50} The results are recorded as the ratio of the average reduction in colony forming units (CFUs) in the compound-treated mice /the average CFU reduction in the mice treated with 1. In this assay, 1 itself caused up to 2.5-3 log reductions in CFUs.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Global Alliance for Tuberculosis Drug Development for financial support through a collaborative research agreement.

Supporting Information Available: Additional experimental procedures and characterizations for compounds in Table 1; combustion analytical data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Laurenzi, M.; Ginsberg, A.; Spigelman, M. Challenges associated with current and future TB treatment. Infect. Disord .: Drug Targets **2007**, 7, 105–119.
- (2) Dorman, S. E.; Chaisson, R. E. From magic bullets back to the Magic Mountain: the rise of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. Nature Med. 2007, 13, 295-298.
- Stover, C. K.; Warrener, P.; VanDevanter, D. R.; Sherman, D. R.; Arain, T. M.; Langhorne, M. H.; Anderson, S. W.; Towell, J. A.; Yuan, Y.; McMurray, D. N.; Kreiswirth, B. N.; Barry, C. E.; Baker, W. R. A small-molecule nitroimidazopyran drug candidate for the treatment of tuberculosis. Nature 2000, 405, 962–966.
- (4) Kim, P.; Zhang, L.; Manjunatha, U. H.; Singh, R.; Patel, S.; Jiricek, J.; Keller, T. H.; Boshoff, H. I.; Barry, C. E.; Dowd, C. S. Structure-activity relationships of antitubercular nitroimidazoles. 1. Structural features associated with aerobic and anaerobic

- activities of 4- and 5-nitroimidazoles. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 1317-1328.
- (5) Kim, P.; Kang, S.; Boshoff, H. I.; Jiricek, J.; Collins, M.; Singh, R.; Manjunatha, U. H.; Niyomrattanakit, P.; Zhang, L.; Goodwin, M.; Dick, T.; Keller, T. H.; Dowd, C. S.; Barry, C. E. Structure activity relationships of antitubercular nitroimidazoles. 2. Determinants of aerobic activity and quantitative structure—activity relationships. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 1329-1344.
- (6) Palmer, B. D.; Thompson, A. M.; Sutherland, H. S.; Blaser, A.; Kmentova, I.; Franzblau, S. G.; Wan, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Z.; Denny, W. A. Synthesis and structure-activity studies of biphenyl analogues of the tuberculosis drug (6S)-2-nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy $\}$ -6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (PA-824). J. Med. Chem. 2009, DOI: 10.1021/jm901207n.
- (7) Anderson, R. F.; Shinde, S. S.; Maroz, A.; Boyd, M.; Palmer, B. D.; Denny, W. A. Intermediates in the reduction of the antituberculosis drug PA-824, (6S)-2-nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy}-6,7-dihydro-5*H*-imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]oxazine, in aqueous solution. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1973-1980.
- (8) Thompson, A. M.; Blaser, A.; Anderson, R. F.; Shinde, S. S.; Franzblau, S. G.; Ma, Z.; Denny, W. A.; Palmer, B. D. Synthesis, reduction potentials, and antitubercular activity of ring A/B analogues of the bioreductive drug (6S)-2-nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy $\}$ -6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (PA-824). J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 637–645.
- (9) Singh, R.; Manjunatha, U.; Boshoff, H. I. M.; Ha, Y. H.; Niyomrattanakit, P.; Ledwidge, R.; Dowd, C. S.; Lee, I. Y.; Kim, P.; Zhang, L.; Kang, S.; Keller, T. H.; Jiricek, J.; Barry, C. E. PA-824 kills nonreplicating Mycobacterium tuberculosis by intracellular NO release. Science 2008, 322, 1392-1395.
- (10) Matsumoto, M.; Hashizume, H.; Tomishige, T.; Kawasaki, M.; Tsubouchi, H.; Sasaki, H.; Shimokawa, Y.; Komatsu, M. OPC-67683, a nitro-dihydro-imidazooxazole derivative with promising action against tuberculosis in vitro and in mice. PLoS Med. 2006, 3, 2131 - 2143
- (11) Tam, C.-M.; Yew, W.-W.; Yuen, K.-Y. Treatment of multidrugresistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: current status and future prospects. Exp. Rev. Clin. Pharmacol. 2009, 2,
- (12) Global Alliance for TB Drug Development report "Novel agent in TB Alliance phase IIa study demonstrates early therapeutic potency against tuberculosis", 26th October 2008, http://www.tballiance.org/newscenter (accessed 23rd November 2008).
- (13) Sung, J. C.; Garcia-Contreras, L.; VerBerkmoes, J. L.; Peloquin, C. A.; Elbert, K. J.; Hickey, A. J.; Edwards, D. A. Dry powder nitroimidazopyran antibiotic PA-824 aerosol for inhalation. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2009, 53, 1338-1343.
- (14) Hu, Y.; Coates, A. R. M.; Mitchison, D. A. Comparison of the sterilising activities of the nitroimidazopyran PA-824 and moxifloxacin against persisting Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Int. J. Tuberculosis Lung Dis. **2008**, 12, 69–73.
- (15) Rivers, E. C.; Mancera, R. L. New anti-tuberculosis drugs with novel mechanisms of action. Curr. Med. Chem. 2008, 15, 1956-
- (16) Baker, W. R.; Shaopei, C.; Keeler, E. L. Nitroimidazole antibacterial compounds and methods of use thereof. U.S. Patent 5,668,127, 1997
- (17) Mamane, V.; Ledoux-Rak, I.; Deveau, S.; Zyss, J.; Riant, O. Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of a chiral ferrocenyl zinc reagent with aromatic bromides: application to the design of chiral octupoles for second harmonic generation. Synthesis 2003, 455-467.
- (18) Silberg, A.; Ursu, A. Thiazoles. XIII. Preparation and properties of 2-halo-4-halomethylthiazoles. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1965, 10,
- (19) Bom, D.; Curran, D. P.; Kruszewski, S.; Zimmer, S. G.; Strode, J. T.; Kohlhagen, G.; Du, W.; Chavan, A. J.; Fraley, K. A.; Bingcang, A. L.; Latus, L. J.; Pommier, Y.; Burke, T. G. The novel silatecan 7-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin displays high lipophilicity, improved human blood stability, and potent anticancer activity. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 3970-3980.
- (20) Aicher, T. D.; Balkan, B.; Bell, P. A.; Brand, L. J.; Cheon, S. H.; Deems, R. O.; Fell, J. B.; Fillers, W. S.; Fraser, J. D.; Gao, J.; Knorr, D. C.; Kahle, G. G.; Leone, C. L.; Nadelson, J.; Simpson, R.; Smith, H. C. Substituted tetrahydropyrrolo[2,1-b]oxazol-5(6H)-ones and tetrahydropyrrolo[2,1-b]thiazol-5(6H)-ones as hypoglycemic agents. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 4556-4566.
- (21) Di Nunno, L.; Vitale, P.; Scilimati, A.; Simone, L.; Capitelli, F. Stereoselective dimerization of 3-arylisoxazoles to cage-shaped bis- β -lactams syn 2,6-diaryl-3,7-diazatricyclo[4.2.0.0^{2.5}]octan-4,8diones induced by hindered lithium amides. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 12388-12395.

- (22) Patrick, D. A.; Bakunov, S. A.; Bakunova, S. M.; Kumar, E. V. K. S.; Lombardy, R. J.; Jones, S. K.; Bridges, A. S.; Zhirnov, O.; Hall, J. E.; Wenzler, T.; Brun, R.; Tidwell, R. R. Synthesis and in vitro antiprotozoal activities of dicationic 3,5-diphenylisoxazoles. *J. Med. Chem.* 2007, 50, 2468–2485.
- (23) Wang, D.; Sutcliffe, J. A.; Oyelere, A. K.; McConnell, T. S.; Ippolito, J. A.; Abelson, J. N. Methods of preparation of bifunctional heterocyclic compounds for use as antiinfective, antiproliferative, antiinflammatory and prokinetic agents. Patent WO 2004029066 A2, 2004.
- (24) Sindkhedkar, M. D.; Desai, V. N.; Loriya, R. M.; Patel, M. V.; Trivedi, B. K.; Bora, R. O.; Diwakar, S. D.; Jadhav, G. R.; Pawar, S. S. Preparation of erythromycin macrolides and ketolides having antimicrobial activity. Patent WO 2008023248 A2, 2008.
- (25) Brown, T. B.; Lowe, P. R.; Schwalbe, C. H.; Stevens, M. F. G. Ring expansion of azidobenzenesulfonamides and azidobenzamides. *J. Chem. Soc.*, Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 2485–2490.
- (26) Pagliai, F.; Pirali, T.; Del Grosso, É.; Di Brisco, R.; Tron, G. C.; Sorba, G.; Genazzani, A. A. Rapid synthesis of triazole-modified resveratrol analogues via click chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 467–470.
- (27) Cafici, L.; Pirali, T.; Condorelli, F.; Del Grosso, E.; Massarotti, A.; Sorba, G.; Canonico, P. L.; Tron, G. C.; Genazzani, A. A. Solution-phase parallel synthesis and biological evaluation of combretatriazoles. *J. Comb. Chem.* 2008, 10, 732–740.
- (28) Sawanishi, H.; Tajima, K.; Tsuchiya, T. Studies on diazepines. XXVIII. Syntheses of 5H-1,3-diazepines and 2H-1,4-diazepines from 3-azidopyridines. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 4101–4109.
- (29) Kuijpers, B. H. M.; Dijkmans, G. C. T.; Groothuys, S.; Quaedflieg, P. J. L. M.; Blaauw, R. H.; van Delft, F. L.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. Copper(I)-mediated synthesis of trisubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles. Synlett 2005, 20, 3059–3062.
- (30) O'Connell, J. F.; Parquette, J.; Yelle, W. E.; Wang, W.; Rapoport, H. Convenient synthesis of methyl 1-methyl-2,4-dibromo-5-imidazolecarboxylate. *Synthesis* 1988, 767–771.
- (31) Collins, C. J.; Fisher, G. B.; Reem, A.; Goralski, C. T.; Singaram, B. Aminoborohydrides. 9. Selective reductions of aldehydes, ketones, esters, and epoxides in the presence of a nitrile using lithium N,N-dialkylaminoborohydrides. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 529–532.
- (32) Ahmed, M. S. M.; Kobayashi, K.; Mori, A. One-pot construction of pyrazoles and isoxazoles with palladium-catalyzed four-component coupling. *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 4487–4489.
 (33) Brillon, D.; Deslongchamps, P. Synthesis of 11- and 12-membered
- (33) Brillon, D.; Deslongchamps, P. Synthesis of 11- and 12-membered rings by a direct cyclization method. Can. J. Chem. 1987, 65, 43–55.
- (34) Kopp, M.; Lancelot, J.-C.; Dallemagne, P.; Rault, S. Synthesis of novel pyrazolopyrrolopyrazines, potential analogs of sildenafil. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 2001, 38, 1045–1050.
- (35) Beck, J. R.; Gajewski, R. P.; Lynch, M. P.; Wright, F. L. Nonaqueous diazotization of 5-amino-1-aryl-1*H*-pyrazole-4-carboxylate esters. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 1987, 24, 267–270.
- (36) Hanson, P.; Jones, J. R.; Taylor, A. B.; Walton, P. H.; Timms, A. W. Sandmeyer reactions. Part 7. An investigation into the

- reduction steps of Sandmeyer hydroxylation and chlorination reactions. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2002, 1135–1150.
- (37) Cartwright, D.; Collins, D. J. Haloalkoxy-substituted diphenyl ethers and their use as herbicides. Patent EP 63873 A1, 1982.
- (38) El-Abadelah, M. M.; Hussein, A. Q.; Kamal, M. R.; Ál-Adhami, K. H. Heterocycles from nitrile imines. Part I. 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,2,4,5-tetrazines. *Heterocycles* 1988, 27, 917–924.
- (39) Sohn, E.; Kunstmann, R. Preparation of 1-arylpyrazole-3-carboxylates as herbicide safeners. Patent DE 3729598 A1, 1989.
- (40) Shimizu, T.; Hayashi, Y.; Nishio, T.; Teramura, K. The reaction of N-aryl-C-ethoxycarbonylnitrilimine with olefins. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1984, 57, 787–790.
- (41) Ćao, S.; Qian, X.; Song, G.; Huang, Q. Syntheses and insecticidal activity of new 2-(5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridyloxymethyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazoles. J. Fluorine Chem. 2002, 117, 63–66.
- (42) Chai, B.; Cao, S.; Liu, H.; Song, G.; Qian, X. Synthesis and insecticidal activity of neonicotinoids derivatives. *Heterocycl. Commun.* 2002, 8, 601–606.
- (43) Chen, Y. L. Preparation of 6-(substituted methylene)- and 6-(substituted hydroxymethyl)penicillanic acids as β -lactamase inhibitors. U.S. Patent 4826833 A, **1989**.
- (44) Swartz, D. L.; Karash, A. R.; Berry, L. A.; Jaeger, D. L. Synthesis of some 4-substituted-2-(o-halogenophenyl)-1,2,3-triazoles. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1983, 20, 1561–1564.
- (45) Kitazaki, T.; Tamura, N.; Tasaka, A.; Matsushita, Y.; Hayashi, R.; Okonogi, K.; Itoh, K. Optically active antifungal azoles. VI. Synthesis and antifungal activity of N-[(1R,2R)-2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxy-1-methyl-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propyl]-N'-(4-substituted phenyl)-3(2H,4H)-1,2,4-triazolones and 5(1H,4H)-tetrazolones. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 44, 314–327.
- (46) Dimroth, O.; Merzbacher, S. Synthesis of tetrazoles from aryl azides. *Chem. Ber.* **1910**, *43*, 2899–2904.
- (47) Forster, M. O.; Fierz, H. E. Aromatic azoimides. Part III. The naphthylazoimides and their nitro derivatives. *J. Chem. Soc. Trans.* 1907, 91, 1942–1953.
- (48) Collins, L. A.; Franzblau, S. G. Microplate Alamar blue assay versus BACTEC 460 system for high-throughput screening of compounds against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacter-ium avium*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1997, 41, 1004–1009.
- (49) Cho, S. H.; Warit, S.; Wan, B.; Hwang, C. H.; Pauli, G. F.; Franzblau, S. G. Low-oxygen-recovery assay for high-throughput screening of compounds against nonreplicating *Mycobacterium* tuberculosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2007, 51, 1380–1385.
- (50) Falzari, K.; Zhu, Z.; Pan, D.; Liu, H.; Hongmanee, P.; Franzblau, S. G. In vitro and in vivo activities of macrolide derivatives against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob*. *Agents Chemother*. 2005, 49, 1447–1454.
- (51) da Silva, R. C.; Ferreira, V. F.; Pinheiro, S. The stereoselective synthesis of nopinone based triazole ketones. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2004, *15*, 3719–3722.
 (52) Lippmann, E.; Koennecke, A.; Beyer, G. Synthesis of 5-substi-
- (52) Lippmann, E.; Koennecke, A.; Beyer, G. Synthesis of 5-substituted-2-phenyltetrazoles. VII. Proton NMR and mass spectra. Monatsh. Chem. 1975, 106, 437–442.